



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/554,359

10/25/2005

Seigo Muramatsu

Q91021

2995

23373 7590 12/27/2007
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

RALIS, STEPHEN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3742

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/27/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/554,359

Applicant(s)

MURAMATSU ET AL.

Examiner

Stephen J. Ralis

Art Unit

3742

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 October 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04 October 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see page 11-18, filed 04 October 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of McGregor et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,464,965).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In the instant case, the recitation to "the semiconductor switch being a semiconductor switch having a current detecting function provided with a terminal for current detection to detect a current which flows in the electrothermal heating element *without using a heater current detecting resistor placed in series with the semiconductor switch and the electrothermal heating element* for detection of the current flowing in the electrothermal heating element." Specifically, the negative recitation to "*without using a heater current detecting resistor placed in series with the semiconductor switch and the electrothermal heating element*". Applicant directed the examiner to pages 2-3 and specifically page 3, lines 1-56 for the disclosure of such a negative limitation. Page 3, line 1-6 state "When an *additional resistance is inserted* to detect the current flowing in the electrothermal heating element of the air heater, accordingly, some defects may arise; e.g., voltage and current to be applied to the electrothermal heating element is largely reduced. The insertion of such additional resistance would also lead to an increase in size of the air heater system." While there is disclosure to "not" inserting an additional resistance, there is no disclosure to not inserting the additional resistor in

series between the semiconductor switch and the electrothermal heating element. Therefore, the recitation to "the semiconductor switch being a semiconductor switch having a current detecting function provided with a terminal for current detection to detect a current which flows in the electrothermal heating element *without using a heater current detecting resistor placed in series with the semiconductor switch and the electrothermal heating element* for detection of the current flowing in the electrothermal heating element." is deemed new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 3, 21, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McGregor et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,464,965).

McGregor et al. discloses an air heater system for a vehicle (pitot tube heater for air craft), the system comprising: an air heater (pitot tube heater) having an electrothermal heating element (resistive element having resistance varying with temperature characteristics; Abstract); a semiconductor switch (switch 13) connected to the electrothermal heating element in series for controlling energization to the electrothermal heating element (see Figures 2, 5), the semiconductor switch being a semiconductor switch having a current detecting function provided with a terminal for

current detection to detect a current which flows in the electrothermal heating element (switch 13 signal connected to current sensor 15; see Figures 2, 5); an electronic control unit (circuit to the left of current sensor 15 and voltage sensor 19) receiving said current signal and controlling on-off switching of the semiconductor switch (switch 13) in part based on said current signal (column 2, line 52 – column 10, line 12), resistance value control means (resistance detector 21) for controlling a resistance value of the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) based on output corresponding to the current which flows in the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) detected through the current detection terminal of the semiconductor switch (current sensor 15 connected to switch 13), said electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) heating said air, and means for detecting a voltage signal across the electrothermal heating element (voltage sensor 19), said electronic control unit (circuit to the left of current sensor 15 and voltage sensor 19) receiving said current and voltage signals (see Figures 2, 5) to determine a resistance value of the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater), and said electronic control unit controlling a resistance value of the electrothermal element (pitot tube heater) based on said current and voltage signals by on-off switching of the semiconductor switch (switch 13) (column 2, line 52 – column 10, line 12).

With respect to the limitation of “for heating intake air flowing in an intake path of an internal combustion engine”, it has been held that the recitation that an element is “for” performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. McGregor et al. clearly disclose a variable resistance heating element being

controlled by a switch, as recited by applicant, in high temperature environments in which such structures are susceptible to high temperature related failures (column 1, lines 12-40). Therefore since McGregor et al. disclose operating in high temperature environments, McGregor et al. fully meets "for heating intake air flowing in an intake path of an internal combustion engine" given its broader reasonable interpretation.

With respect to the limitations of claim 3, McGregor et al. disclose a failure detection means (column 2, lines 52-62; column 7, claim 5; column 8-10, claim 10-11) for detecting a failure of the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) by detecting a resistance value of the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) based on output corresponding to the current which flows in the electrothermal heating element detected through the current detection terminal of the semiconductor switch (column 2, line 52 – column 10, line 12).

With respect to the limitations of claim 21, McGregor et al. disclose the resistance control means (21) providing a signal to the logic circuit (41) that provides adjusting of the temperature of the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater). Furthermore, the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) and control circuitry of McGregor et al. have a initial power-up stage, a temperature maintaining stage, and a failure stage and the multiple stages are controlled to heat the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) to the appropriate temperature. Therefore, McGregor et al. fully meets "said resistance value control means controls the resistance value of the electrothermal heating element to adjust the temperature of the electrothermal heating element in multiple stages so as to bring the temperature of the intake air to an

appropriate temperature for an operating condition of the internal combustion engine"

Given its broadest reasonable interpretation.

With respect to the limitations of claim 22, McGregor et al. disclose a switch (13) and the switch (13) would have to have a body or main body which is connected to the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) as shown in Figures 2, 5. Furthermore, the current output signal is based on the output of the timer (37) which produces 40 ms clock pulse and power output accordingly. Therefore, McGregor et al. fully meets "the semiconductor switch includes: a main body connected to the electrothermal heating element in series for controlling energization to the electrothermal heating element, a current detection circuit which detects current passing through the main body, and a current detection terminal outputting a current signal at a predetermined ratio to current passing through the electrothermal heating element"

Given its broadest reasonable interpretation.

With respect to the limitations of claim 23, McGregor et al. disclose the semiconductor switch (switch 13) having a current detecting function (current sensor 15) provided with a terminal for current detection to detect a current which flows in the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) without using a heater current detecting resistor placed in series with the semiconductor switch and the electrothermal heating element for detection of the current flowing in the electrothermal heating element (see Figures 2, 5).

As the reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

10. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beetz et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0011484) in view of McGregor et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,464,965).

Beetz et al. disclose an air heater system (1) comprising: an electrothermal heating element (PTC heating elements); a frame made out of metal (page 2, paragraph 23); and the control device being fixed within the box-shaped lateral frame bar (5; page 2, paragraph 31).

With respect to the limitation of a semiconductor switch connected to the electrothermal heating element in series for controlling energization to the

electrothermal heating element, Beetz et al disclose control board (10) including control electronics (12) to determine the amount of current which is to be delivered by power electronics components (11) to respective heating elements (2). The printed circuit board (10) of Beetz et al. inherently has a semiconductor switching means within the control electronics (12) and power electronics components (11) or the printed circuit board would not be able to determine and control the amount of power delivered to the device. Furthermore, Beetz et al. disclose connecting the PTC elements in series to the control device (page 2, paragraphs 31-33).

With respect to the limitation of semiconductor switch being mounted on a wiring board and the frame and wiring board being made of resin, Beetz et al. explicitly disclose the frame being made of plastic or metal (page 2, paragraph 23) and plastic is clearly and inherently a synthetic resin. Furthermore, Beetz et al. all disclose a printed circuit board (10) as noted above. It is further known in the art that circuit boards are traditionally sealed with thermoplastic resin. Therefore, Beetz et al. fully meets "a wiring board on which the semiconductor switch is mounted" and "the frame and the wiring board are made of resin" given its broadest reasonable interpretation.

Beetz et al. disclose all of the limitations of the claimed invention, as previously set forth, except for the semiconductor switch being a semiconductor switch having a current detecting function provided with a terminal for current detection to detect a current which flows in the electrothermal heating element; an electronic control unit receiving the current signal and controlling on-off switching of the semiconductor switch in part based on the current signal, resistance value control means for controlling a

resistance value of the electrothermal heating element based on output corresponding to the current which flows in the electrothermal heating element detected through the current detection terminal of the semiconductor switch, the electrothermal heating element heating the air, and means for detecting a voltage signal across the electrothermal heating element, the electronic control unit receiving the current and voltage signals to determine a resistance value of the electrothermal heating element, and the electronic control unit controlling a resistance value of the electrothermal element based on the current and voltage signals by on-off switching of the semiconductor switch.

However, Having a resistance varying temperature based heating element connected in series to a switch being controlled by sensing current from the switch and voltage across the heater and further controlling the resistance across the heater by switching ON/OFF the switch is known in the art. McGregor et al., for example teach a variable resistance heating element being controlled by a switch, as recited by applicant, in high temperature environments in which such structures are susceptible to high temperature related failures (column 1, lines 12-40). McGregor et al. explicitly teach a semiconductor switch having a current detecting function provided with a terminal for current detection to detect a current which flows in the electrothermal heating element (switch 13 signal connected to current sensor 15; see Figures 2, 5); an electronic control unit (circuit to the left of current sensor 15 and voltage sensor 19) receiving said current signal and controlling on-off switching of the semiconductor switch (switch 13) in part based on said current signal (column 2, line 52 – column 10,

line 12), resistance value control means (resistance detector 21) for controlling a resistance value of the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) based on output corresponding to the current which flows in the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) detected through the current detection terminal of the semiconductor switch (current sensor 15 connected to switch 13), said electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) heating said air, and means for detecting a voltage signal across the electrothermal heating element (voltage sensor 19), said electronic control unit (circuit to the left of current sensor 15 and voltage sensor 19) receiving said current and voltage signals (see Figures 2, 5) to determine a resistance value of the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater), and said electronic control unit controlling a resistance value of the electrothermal element (pitot tube heater) based on said current and voltage signals by on-off switching of the semiconductor switch (switch 13) (column 2, line 52 – column 10, line 12). McGregor et al. further teach the advantage of such a configuration provides a highly reliable and uncomplicated circuit having a small number of components, thereby making a more efficient and less expensive control circuit for a temperature varying resistance heater. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the heater control circuitry of the PTC heater of Beetz et al. with the controlling means (i.e. current sensing and voltage sensing providing resistance control switching of the resistance varying temperature based heating element) in order to provide a highly reliable and uncomplicated circuit having a small number of components, thereby making a more efficient and less expensive control circuit for a temperature varying resistance heater.

11. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beetz et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0011484) in view of McGregor et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,464,965) as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, and further in view of Hidetaka et al. (Japanese Publication No. JP 07078671 A) as evidenced by Bohlender et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,057,672).

The Beetz-McGregor air heater system combination discloses all of the limitations including a frame being made of plastic or metal, as previously set forth, except for specifically calling for a part of the frame being resin.

However, a part of a heater frame being resin, as described by Hidetaka et al., is known in the art. Hidetaka et al. teach a heat radiating part comprising of part of the frame-shaped case (3) made of a heat resistant resin being fixed in a frame shaped housing (4) made of metal to suppress conduction of the heat from the radiation part to the housing, thereby effectively heating the air through the heating element (English translation of Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify frame of the Beetz-McGregor air heater system combination with the part resin, part metal frame of Hidetaka et al. to suppress conduction of the heat from the radiation part to the housing, thereby effectively heating the air through the heating element.

With respect to the limitations of claims 5 and 6 and the electrothermal heating element having such a temperature converging property that a temperature rises and then converges to a predetermined convergence temperature when the electrothermal

heating element is continuously supplied with maximum allowable voltage, Beetz et al. explicitly disclose a PTC heating element being the electrothermal heating element. It is known in the art, as described by Bohlender et al. in column 2, lines 3-14, that PTC heating elements are characterized by low electric resistance in the cold state, this resistance increasing with rising temperature, so that the current flow through the PTC heating element is reduced as its temperature rises. It is also known that PTC heating elements have self regulating properties thereby preventing overheating of the PTC heating elements. Furthermore, the temperature capable of being attained by a PTC heating element can be determined by the selection of certain parameters during its manufacture. Therefore, the Beetz-McGregor-Hidetaka air heater system combination inherently has a temperature converging property that a temperature rises and then converges to a predetermined convergence temperature when the electrothermal heating element is continuously supplied with maximum allowable voltage, due to the heating element being a PTC heater as evidenced by Bohlender et al.

In addition, with respect to the further limitations of claim 5 and the resinous part being arranged in such a place that the resinous part has rigidity adequate for actual use even when the electrothermal heating element is at the convergence temperature, Beetz et al. explicitly disclose the frame of the heater being made of plastic (page 2, paragraph 23). Furthermore, Hidetaka et al. explicitly teach the resinous material of the frame case (3) being heat resistant (English translation of Abstract). Therefore, if both Beetz et al. and Hidetaka et al. teach utilizing a resin material for the frame of a PTC element heater, the resinous part would inherently have a rigidity adequate for actual

use even when the electrothermal heating element is at the convergence temperature inherent to a PTC heating element or the heaters would not function as intended.

Furthermore, with respect to the further limitations of claim 6 and the frame including a resinous part made of resin having a predetermined deflection temperature under load, and the resinous part is arranged in such a place that the temperature of the resinous part remains below the deflection temperature under load even when the electrothermal heating element is at the convergence temperature, the Examiner respectfully reiterates that Beetz et al. explicitly disclose the frame of the heater being made of plastic (page 2, paragraph 23) and Hidetaka et al. explicitly teach the resinous material of the frame case (3) being heat resistant (English translation of Abstract). The Examiner, as well as Applicant within the specification, notes that any resinous part made of resin inherently has a predetermined deflection. Therefore, if both Beetz et al. and Hidetaka et al. teach utilizing a resin material for the frame of a PTC element heater, the resinous parts made of resin would inherently have a predetermined deflection temperature under load. Furthermore, the resinous parts of Beetz et al. (entire frame) and Hidetaka et al. (inner region adjacent PTC heater elements) would be inherently arranged such that the temperature of the resinous part remains below the deflection temperature under load even when the electrothermal heating element is at the convergence temperature inherent to a PTC heating element or the heaters would not function as intended.

12. Claims 7-11 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beetz et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0011484) in view of McGregor et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,464,965) as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, and further in view of Yoshimura (U.S. Patent No. 6,747,432).

The Beetz-McGregor air heater system combination disclose all of the limitations of the claimed invention, as previously set forth, except for the semiconductor switch and the wiring board being covered with resin so as to render the semiconductor switch and the wiring board waterproof.

However, a control circuit comprising a switching mechanism in the vicinity of working parts of a vehicle being covered with a resin to make the circuitry waterproof is known in the art. Yoshimura, for example, teaches a drive apparatus/circuit (21) comprising a switching circuit (34) being placed in the vicinity of operating device in a heated vehicle engine is a state resin-molded and dustproof/waterproof sealed mixture (column 5, lines 10-13). It is known in the art that such circuitry placed in a resin-molded state produces a dustproof and waterproof-sealed circuit, thereby increasing the operating longevity of the circuit device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the heater control circuitry of the Beetz-McGregor air heater system combination with the control circuitry being placed in a resin-molded state in order to provide a dustproof and waterproof-sealed circuit, thereby increasing the operating longevity of the circuit device.

With respect to the limitations of claims 8-11 and 15-18, McGregor et al. disclose a failure detection means (column 2, lines 52-62; column 7, claim 5; column 8-10, claim

10-11) for detecting a failure of the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) by detecting a resistance value of the electrothermal heating element (pitot tube heater) based on output corresponding to the current which flows in the electrothermal heating element detected through the current detection terminal of the semiconductor switch (column 2, line 52 – column 10, line 12).

With respect to the limitation of claims 8 and 15 and the semiconductor switch being fixed to the frame in such a place that the temperature of the semiconductor switch becomes the shut-off temperature when the temperature of the electrothermal heating element reaches an excessive temperature, Beetz et al. explicitly disclose the control device of the heater fixed within the frame (prior art; page 1, paragraph 4; invention; page 2, paragraph 25; see Figures 3, 4). Therefore, the Beetz-McGregor-Yoshimura air heater system combination would have the semiconductor switch being attached to the frame and further shut-off when the temperature of the electrothermal heating element reaches an excessive temperature.

13. Claims 12, 13, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beetz et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0011484) in view of McGregor et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,464,965) and Yoshimura (U.S. Patent No. 6,747,432) as applied to claims 7 and 14 above, and further in view of Yoshimura (U.S. Patent No. 6,747,432).

The Beetz-McGregor-Yoshimura air heater system combination discloses all of the limitations including a frame being made of plastic or metal, as previously set forth, except for specifically calling for a part of the frame being resin.

However, a part of a heater frame being resin, as described by Hidetaka et al., is known in the art. Hidetaka et al. teach a heat radiating part comprising of part of the frame-shaped case (3) made of a heat resistant resin being fixed in a frame shaped housing (4) made of metal to suppress conduction of the heat from the radiation part to the housing, thereby effectively heating the air through the heating element (English translation of Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify frame of the Beetz-McGregor air heater system combination with the part resin, part metal frame of Hidetaka et al. to suppress conduction of the heat from the radiation part to the housing, thereby effectively heating the air through the heating element.

With respect to the limitations of claims 12, 13, 19 and 20 and the electrothermal heating element having such a temperature converging property that a temperature rises and then converges to a predetermined convergence temperature when the electrothermal heating element is continuously supplied with maximum allowable voltage, Beetz et al. explicitly disclose a PTC heating element being the electrothermal heating element. It is known in the art, as described by Bohlender et al. in column 2, lines 3-14, that PTC heating elements are characterized by low electric resistance in the cold state, this resistance increasing with rising temperature, so that the current flow through the PTC heating element is reduced as its temperature rises. It is also known

that PTC heating elements have self regulating properties thereby preventing overheating of the PTC heating elements. Furthermore, the temperature capable of being attained by a PTC heating element can be determined by the selection of certain parameters during its manufacture. Therefore, the Beetz-McGregor-Hidetaka air heater system combination inherently has a temperature converging property that a temperature rises and then converges to a predetermined convergence temperature when the electrothermal heating element is continuously supplied with maximum allowable voltage, due to the heating element being a PTC heater as evidenced by Bohlender et al.

In addition, with respect to the further limitations of claims 12 and 19 and the resinous part being arranged in such a place that the resinous part has rigidity adequate for actual use even when the electrothermal heating element is at the convergence temperature, Beetz et al. explicitly disclose the frame of the heater being made of plastic (page 2, paragraph 23). Furthermore, Hidetaka et al. explicitly teach the resinous material of the frame case (3) being heat resistant (English translation of Abstract). Therefore, if both Beetz et al. and Hidetaka et al. teach utilizing a resin material for the frame of a PTC element heater, the resinous part would inherently have a rigidity adequate for actual use even when the electrothermal heating element is at the convergence temperature inherent to a PTC heating element or the heaters would not function as intended.

Furthermore, with respect to the further limitations of claims 13 and 20 and the frame including a resinous part made of resin having a predetermined deflection

temperature under load, and the resinous part is arranged in such a place that the temperature of the resinous part remains below the deflection temperature under load even when the electrothermal heating element is at the convergence temperature, the Examiner respectfully reiterates that Beetz et al. explicitly disclose the frame of the heater being made of plastic (page 2, paragraph 23) and Hidetaka et al. explicitly teach the resinous material of the frame case (3) being heat resistant (English translation of Abstract). The Examiner, as well as Applicant within the specification, notes that any resinous part made of resin inherently has a predetermined deflection. Therefore, if both Beetz et al. and Hidetaka et al. teach utilizing a resin material for the frame of a PTC element heater, the resinous parts made of resin would inherently have a predetermined deflection temperature under load. Furthermore, the resinous parts of Beetz et al. (entire frame) and Hidetaka et al. (inner region adjacent PTC heater elements) would be inherently arranged such that the temperature of the resinous part remains below the deflection temperature under load even when the electrothermal heating element is at the convergence temperature inherent to a PTC heating element or the heaters would not function as intended.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Ralis whose telephone number is 571-272-6227. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number:
10/554,359
Art Unit: 3742

Page 20

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Stephen J Ralis
Examiner
Art Unit 3742

SJR
December 17, 2007



TU BA HOANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER